



Everything Everywhere All at Once: Navigating Risk, Compliance, and Opportunity

Economic, Geopolitical, and Regulatory
Environment Shaping International
Finance

Sergio V. Leal, *Head of Compliance and Regulatory Programs, Fervo Energy*

Eric Muñoz, *Partner, Womble Bond Dickinson*





NEXT GENERATION GEOTHERMAL ENERGY

Cape Station Construction, March 2026

Mission & Core Values

Our mission is to transform geothermal energy into America's most dependable and affordable source of clean, 24/7 power.

Build Things That Last

We prioritize health, safety, and the environment first.

We think long term.

We don't cut corners.

Innovation Through Collaboration

We seek out ideas from all sources.

We are never territorial about our work or ideas.

We ask questions to learn, we teach to grow.

Do What We Say We Are Going To Do

When we commit to something, we deliver it.

We assess each task honestly.

We are transparent and accountable.

Stop And Smell The Roses

We celebrate wins.

We care for the mental, physical, and emotional well-being of our people.

We make community building a core part of doing business.



WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON



International Finance, Geopolitics and Compliance



International Finance, Geopolitics, AML & Compliance — trending news terms

Updated through Aug. 12, 2026. Same terms/parameters as Aug. 6 version; weights reflect directional prominence, not a formal media-count dataset.



WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON

Everything Everywhere All at Once

- Initial AI generated image



Everything Everywhere All at Once

- ... after a few prompts to visualize corporate counsel juggling deals touching a number of jurisdictions



Agenda

- Economic landscape
- Geopolitical pressure points
- Regulatory fragmentation
- Compliance convergence: AML, sanctions, tariffs
- Takeaways and practical actions

Overview

- Markets remain global, but legal and regulatory regimes are becoming more jurisdiction-specific
- Geopolitical tools are becoming financial tools, especially sanctions, tariffs, export controls, and investment screening
- In-house legal teams need scalable global frameworks with local flexibility and continual monitoring

Cross-border finance is not deglobalizing, but it is becoming more multi-rulebook

Economic Environment – IMF Global Update

- **Strong corporate earnings**
 - Corporate earnings in S&P 500 largely beating earnings estimates
 - Markets with significant AI exposure (U.S., Japan, Korea, Taiwan) outperforming others through Q2 2026
- **Higher energy prices have raised expected policy rates**
 - A number of central banks have been raising policy rates and inflation concerns could increase vulnerabilities
 - Energy dependence has caused policy rate pricing to vary considerably

Economic Environment - Global Picture

- Global debt as a percentage of GDP continues to grow, expected to reach 100% in 2029, putting pressure on fiscal policies
- IMF forecasts global economic growth of 3.0% in 2026 and 3.4% in 2027, which is down from 3.5% growth in 2024 and 2025 (World Economic Outlook Update, July 2026)
 - Commodity prices remain elevated
 - Inflation and expectations have risen (expectations are mostly short term)
 - Monetary policy is expected to remain largely steady given inflationary pressure

Economic Environment – Middle East Conflict

- Slight decrease in projections attributed to effects of Middle East conflict, offset by technology advances, including AI
- Energy pricing volatility somewhat mitigated by market responses, including release of reserves and conservation
- Effects are uneven across economies depending on exposure to energy price volatility and participation in technology value chain; as of July 2026:
 - Retail gasoline prices have risen by 30% in emerging Asian markets but 15% in Latin America
 - Natural gas prices rose by 50% in Asia, 25% in Europe and 10% in the U.S. since the conflict began



Economic Environment – Why it Matters

Higher energy prices can affect project economics, liquidity and covenant cushion.

Divergent growth rates can affect borrower credit profiles and sovereign risk.

AI-driven capital investment may shift lender appetite toward technology, power, and infrastructure.

Inflation and policy-rate uncertainty can complicate pricing, hedging and refinancing opportunities.

Geopolitical Landscape

Global power competition and geoeconomics

Economic nationalism

Decreasing dependency

New and ongoing military conflicts

Domestic political and social pressures

Fragmentation of regulatory regimes

Global Power Competition and Geoeconomics

U.S. and China Competition/Geoeconomics

- Perception of U.S. receding from global role, NATO and global economic order
- China expanding global influence, infrastructure, trade and technology

Economic Nationalism

- Lazard Geopolitical Advisory posits a new playbook based on U.S. policy
- Expects businesses will face greater scrutiny, with governments not just playing 'referees' but becoming 'major players in the corporate arena'
- Trade & Tariffs, trade restrictions, sanctions and investment screenings, golden shares



Military Conflicts

Russia/Ukraine War

- Shifting political alignments
- Commodities—Energy, wheat and fertilizer exports
- Economic damage—labor shortages, inflation, infrastructure damage

US/Iran Conflict

- Increased costs—Energy, fertilizer
- Slower growth—especially in Gulf states

Regional Conflicts

- Israel/Palestinian conflicts
- Sudan
- Haiti



Geopolitical Pressure Points – Why it Matters

- **Trade:** tariffs, customs, supply chains, country-of-origin rules
- **Counterparties:** sanctions, AML, beneficial ownership, corruption risk
- **Capital:** FDI screening, lender appetite, sovereign risk, alternative financing sources
- **Operations:** energy security, logistics, cyber, data localization
- **Contracts:** force majeure, change in law, sanctions exits, tariff pass-throughs



Domestic Political and Social Pressures

Nationalism

- Trend of rising nationalism across Europe
- Protectionist policies, higher costs, lower foreign investments

Technology/Information

- AI race
- Disinformation campaigns

Polarization

- Policy instability
- Governance gridlock

Regulatory Framework

Fragmentation

- Trade
- Foreign Direct Investment
- Market
- Financial

Compliance

- AML
- Sanctions
- Tariffs/Export Controls

Fragmentation

- Expanded use of tariffs across major economies
- Growing use of sanctions, export controls and investment restrictions
- Focus on supply chain resilience and strategic autonomy
- Heightened AML, beneficial ownership and financial compliance requirements across jurisdictions
- Divergent data, ESG, AI and cybersecurity frameworks

Fragmentation – Beyond Just Rules

- Basel III was designed to promote consistency in bank capital, liquidity and risk management standards
- Jurisdictions have taken different approaches to Basel III, including different transition periods
- EU is furthest along in implementation, having mostly implemented the framework
- U.S. has issued a revised proposal that includes reductions in capital requirements and remains open to public comment (significant bank pushback in 2023 after a robust proposal)
- UK has announced delay of implementation to January 2027, to “allow[] more time for greater clarity to emerge about plans for its implementation in the United States”

Even globally coordinated financial regulation can fragment in implementation

Fragmentation – Why it Matters

- More diligence
- More conditionality
- Longer execution timelines
- More contractual risk allocation
- More post-closing monitoring

Operating across a fragmented regulatory landscape requires a global framework adaptable to local jurisdictions and continual monitoring



Fragmentation – Common Theme, Know your Business

Know your Customers

Know your Counterparties

Know Ownership and Control

Know your Supply Chain

Know the Flow of Money

Know your Data



Compliance

AML

Sanctions

Tariffs/Export Controls



WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON



AML

- Companies are expected to understand who owns and controls counterparties especially in high-risk jurisdictions, e.g., M&A transactions
- Use of digital assets and new payment technologies introduces new risk
- Companies should evaluate risk in light of industry, supply chain, geographic footprint, customer base and transaction structure
- AML and sanctions are increasingly intertwined

Firms should tier counterparty diligence by consequence rather than spend and view AML compliance as a risk-management issue not just a banking requirement

AML – U.S. Framework

Bank Secrecy Act

USA PATRIOT Act

Anti-Money Laundering Act of 2020

Note: FinCEN issued a final rule extending the investment adviser AML rule's effective date from January 1, 2026 to January 1, 2028, with the substance under review in the interim

Corporate Transparency Act

Note: Domestic reporting suspended by interim final rule; final rule pending at OMB.



Sanctions

- Sanctions continue to be a geopolitical tool, with energy being a particularly important sector
- Sanctions evasion is an area of increasing focus
- Sanctions screening and diligence expanding beyond direct counterparties, ownership and control analysis is not enough (OFAC 50 percent rule)
- Focus on use of digital assets as a sanctions evasion technique

Eliminating direct counterparty sanctions risk is just the first step, companies must develop robust diligence processes, contract controls and continual monitoring

Sanctions – U.S. Framework

- International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA)
- Trading with the Enemy Act
- Countering America's Adversaries through Sanctions Act
- Magnitsky Act
- Specialized Sanctions Laws, including
 - Terrorism
 - Narcotics
 - Human Rights

Tariffs/Export Controls

- Like sanctions, tariffs and export controls have become more prominent tools in the geopolitical arena
- Stability in supply chains becoming a focus
- Export controls can affect equipment sourcing, technology transfer, software access, technical services, and project development timelines
- Companies face increasing input costs, common inputs for energy projects include those subject to tariffs
- Country-of-origin evidence has to be collected at contracting, not reconstructed at audit
- Importance of risk allocation is increasing as trade volatility increases

Tariffs are financing, supply-chain and project development issues, not just customs issues

Tariffs – U.S. Framework

- **Article I, Section 8** – Congress has authority to impose tariffs, delegated authority to President
- Section 201 Safeguard Measures
- Section 232 National Security
- Section 301 Unfair Trade Practices
- Harmonized Tariff Schedule
- Tariff Act of 1930
- Trade Agreements
- **IEEPA** – SCOTUS held that IEEPA does not provide statutory basis for President to impose tariffs (*Learning Resources, Inc. v. Trump*)
- Exclusions, Waivers

Example: Cross-Border Energy Project Financing

- A project may require review of:
 - Sanctions and AML diligence on sponsors, offtakers, contractors, and suppliers
 - Tariffs and customs exposure for equipment
 - Export controls for technology, software, and technical services
 - FDI or national security review
 - Lender capital treatment under Basel III
 - Data, cyber, and vendor oversight obligations
 - Contract mechanisms to allocate regulatory volatility

The transaction is still cross-border and financeable, but the legal review is now multi-rulebook

Takeaways

- Regulatory fragmentation is complicating cross-jurisdiction transactions, but global transactions, financial systems and markets remain interconnected
- Geopolitical, economic and legal landscapes continue to evolve and shift
- Legal issues in international finance do not occur in a vacuum and must be evaluated in light of geopolitical and economic environments
- The framework question is not whether a control exists on paper, but whether the company can show it operated: ownership screened, origin documented, exceptions escalated

When everything is happening everywhere all at once, the legal team's job is to turn complexity into a repeatable risk-management process



Practical Actions

- **Map regulatory touchpoints early**
 - Sanctions, AML, tariffs, FDI, export controls, data, cyber, tax, ESG
- **Build one global framework with local overlays**
 - Avoid wholly siloed country-by-country compliance
- **Upgrade diligence beyond direct counterparties**
 - Ownership, control, supply chain, payment flows, sanctions exposure
- **Use contracts to allocate regulatory volatility**
 - Tariff change clauses, sanctions termination rights, cooperation covenants, information rights
- **Monitor after closing**
 - Regulatory risk does not end at closing
 - Assign an owner and keep the evidence



